

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,247	03/10/2004	John MacDonald	TRM A2596DIV2	5381	
32047 7	590 07/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC 55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET			NOLAN, SANDRA M		
	R, NH 03101		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/797,247	MACDONALD ET A	L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sandra M. Nolan	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a to reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= : :				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in a portionity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage		
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)		
Patent and Trademody Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/797,247

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

Claims 25-35 are pending after entry of the preliminary amendment dated 10
 March 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

What does "thin" (in line 1 of claims 25, 28, 34 and 38) mean? Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 25-26, 28 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Papendick et al (US 5,037,680).

Papendick teaches automotive components having a first outer layer that contains 0.1 to 5% UV stabilizer (col. 7, lines 3-8) and inner second layer that contains 0.1 to 0.6 UV stabilizer (col. 5, lines 41-62). When the component is a bumper, as shown in Figure 1, it concealed from the passengers' view.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,247

Art Unit: 1772

At col. 4, lines 31-61, it teaches that resin blends and resin/filler combinations can be used in the outer resin layer and that fillers in that layer can be UV stabilizers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 27 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papendick.

Papendick is discussed above. It fails to teach that the inner layer contains formulations comprising combinations of different resins and fillers.

In the absence of convincing objective evidence to the contrary, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to employ blends of resins and fillers as components of the second inner layers of Papendick in order to achieve various pigmentation and stabilization effects.

Art Unit: 1772

Citation as of Interest

9. Crass et al (US 4,692,837) is cited as of interest for teaching multilayer films in which the outer layer contains 3 to 10 times more stabilizer that the inner layer does.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan, whose telephone number is 571/272-1495. She can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at 571/272-1498.

The fax number for patent application documents is 703/872-9306.

S. M. Nolan

Primary Examiner

S.M. Nolon

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 10797247(20040714)